

THE ROLE OF MERCURY IN PERIODONTAL DISEASE AND ORAL HEALTH PROBLEMS

Reproduced by kind permission of:
Bernard Windham (Editor)
President & Research Director
DAMS International
www.flcv.com/dams

www.thenaturalrecoveryplan.com

I. Introduction & Mercury Exposure Levels from Amalgam

Mercury is one of the most toxic substances in existence and is known to bioaccumulate in the body of people and animals that have chronic exposure. Mercury exposure is cumulative and comes primarily from 3 main sources: occupational exposure, food (mainly fish), and silver/mercury dental fillings. Whereas mercury exposure from fish is primarily methyl mercury, mercury from occupational exposure and dental fillings is primarily from elemental mercury vapour. But bacteria in the mouth and intestines methylate other forms of mercury to methyl, and some demethylation also occurs.

The most common type of occupational exposure comes from dental office exposure and is documented to result in significant adverse health effects (602). Mercury in amalgam fillings, because of its high volatility and galvanic action due to presence of dissimilar metals in the mouth, has been found to be continuously vapourised and released into the body (192, 600, etc.), and has been found to be the largest source of mercury in the majority of people who have amalgam fillings (WHO: 18, 183, 199, 209, 601). The level of daily exposure commonly exceeds the U.S. EPA health guideline for daily mercury exposure (2, 217, 601).

Concentrations of mercury in oral mucosa for a population of patients with 6 or more amalgam fillings taken during oral surgery were 20 times the level of controls (174). Studies have shown mercury travels from amalgam into dentin, root tips, and the gums, with levels in roots tips as high as 41 ppm (192). Studies have shown that mercury in the gums such as from root caps for root canalled teeth or amalgam tattoos result in chronic inflammation, including proliferation of inflammatory cytokines in addition to migration to other parts of the body (35, 47, 86a, 200, 314a).

Mercury and silver from fillings can be seen in the tissues as amalgam "tattoos", which have been found to accumulate in the oral mucosa as granules along collagen bundles, blood vessels, nerve sheaths, elastic fibres, membranes, striated muscle fibres, and acini of minor salivary glands. Dark granules are also present intracellularly within macrophages, multinucleated giant cells, endothelial cells, and fibroblasts, and metals also accumulate in tooth roots and the jaw bone (35, 47).

There is in most cases chronic inflammatory response or macrophagic reaction to the metals (47), usually in the form of a foreign body granuloma with multinucleated giant cells of the foreign body and Langhan's types (192). In a group of patients with amalgam tattoos that were tested, 74% of the patients revealed high lymphocyte reactivity (positive MELISA test) to one or more metal components of dental

restorations (47k). The majority of MELISA positive patients suffered from serious health problems (various allergies, autoimmune diseases, Parkinson's syndrome etc.). Nickel and inorganic mercury were the most common sensitisers in vitro. The cytokine assay revealed that mercury chloride activated predominantly TH2 lymphocytes, while nickel chloride activated mainly TH1 lymphocytes.

Most dentists are not aware of the main source of amalgam tattoos, oral galvanism where electric currents caused by mixed metals in the mouth take the metals into the gums and oral mucosa, accumulating at the base of teeth with large fillings or metal crowns over amalgam base (192). Such mercury including that in the commonly formed amalgam tattoos moves to other parts of the body over time in significant amounts and more rapidly than the other metals.

Macrophages remove mercury by phagocytosis and the mercury moves to other parts of the body through the blood and along nerves (47). Another study (47l) demonstrated a dense mononuclear inflammatory infiltrate associated with large and powdered debris and positivity for HLA-DR and MT in inflammatory cells. While blood vessel walls and connective fibre impregnated with powdered particles were negative for HLA-DR, they were positive for MT.

In addition, wherever epithelial basement membrane impregnation by powdered amalgam particles was observed, a strong positivity for MT was detected. These findings demonstrate that residual elements of AT still have noxious local effects over tissues. Such metals are documented to cause local and systemic lesions and health effects, which usually recover after removal of the amalgam tattoo by surgery (47f, 47g, 47h, 47i, 47m). The high levels of accumulated mercury also are dispersed to other parts of the body.

Mercury vapour given off by amalgam fillings accumulates in the teeth, tooth roots, gums, jawbone, and oral tissue. The number of amalgam surfaces has a statistically significant correlation to the level of mercury in oral mucosa and saliva (18, 77, 79, 182, 199, 211, 222, 292).

The amount of mercury in saliva averaged between 1.5 to 1.9 micrograms per litre for each amalgam filling (199ab).

The amount of mercury released by a gold alloy bridge over amalgam over a 10 year period was measured to be approx. 101 milligrams (mg) (60% of total) or 30 micrograms (μ g) per day (18), and other studies have found similar results (182). The average mercury levels in gum tissue near amalgam fillings are often over 100 ppm

(192), and levels in oral mucosa removed during oral surgery averaged over 2 ppm (over 20 times controls) and levels in root tips of 41 ppm (47, 174, 192).

Having dissimilar metals in the teeth (e.g. gold and mercury) causes galvanic action, electrical currents, and much higher mercury vapour levels and mercury levels in tissues (8, 18, 19, 27, 30, 47, 48, 174, 182, 191, 192). The level of mercury in the gums or jaw bone is often 1,000 ppm near a gold cap on an amalgam filling (25, 30, 35, 48, 58), and similar levels as high as 5,600 ppm have been found in the jaw bone under large amalgam fillings or gold crowns over amalgam by German oral surgeons (436).

These levels are among the highest levels ever measured in tissues of living organisms, exceeding the highest levels found in chronically exposed chloralkali workers, those who died from mercury in Minamata, or animals that died from mercury poisoning. The FDA action level for warnings of dangerous levels in fish or food is 1 ppm and the EPA health criterion level is 0.3 ppm. In patients with galvanic cell in their oral cavity we found decreased levels of anti-inflammatory markers, such as secretory IgA, IgA1, IgA2, and Iysozyme, and increased levels of the pro-inflammatory marker albumin (192i).

Amalgam also releases significant amounts of silver, tin, and copper which also have toxic effects, with organic tin compounds formed in the body being even more neurotoxic than inorganic mercury.

German studies of mercury loss from vapour in unstimulated saliva found the saliva of those with amalgams had at least 5 times as much mercury as for controls (179,199]. Much mercury in saliva and the brain is also organic, since mouth bacteria convert inorganic mercury to methyl mercury (81, 88, 600). Oral bacteria streptococommus mitior, S. mutans, and S. sanguis were all found to methylate mercury (81, 600), as well as Candida albicans. Methyl mercury, like mercury vapour, crosses the blood-brain barrier, and both forms are converted to very neurotoxic inorganic compounds which have a long half-life in the brain. The process also results in formation of hydrogen sulphide and metal protein compounds that are involved in mouth odour (334).

A large U.S. Centers for Disease Control epidemiological study, NHANES III, found that those with more amalgam fillings(more mercury exposure) have significantly higher levels of chronic health conditions (543a). A 2009 study found that inorganic mercury levels in people have been increasing rapidly in recent years (543b). It used data from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Health

Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) finding that while inorganic mercury was detected in the blood of 2 percent of women aged 18 to 49 in the 1999-2000 NHANES survey, that level rose to 30 percent of women by 2005-2006. Surveys in all states using hair tests have found dangerous levels of mercury in an average of 22 % of the population, with over 30% in some states like Florida and New York (543c).

II. Oral Health Effects of Mercury from Amalgam

A large study of 20,000 subjects at a German university found a significant relation between the number of amalgam fillings with periodontal problems (199). Some of the oral effects documented in the literature to be caused by amalgam include gingivitis, oral gum tissue inflammation, bleeding gums, bone loss, mouth sores, oral lesions, pain and discomfort, burning mouth (89), metallic taste, chronic sore throat, chronic inflammatory response, lichen planus autoimmune response, oral keratosis, oral cancer (251, 252), bad breath, mouth dryness, tender teeth, trigeminal neuralgia, sinusitis, TMJ, orofacial granulomatosis, oral lichen planus (86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 95), leukoplakia and amalgam tattoos (5, 27, 29, 48a, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 95, 192a, 303, 341, 525a, 582).

Amalgams are also a factor in periodontal disease (303, etc.). Removal of amalgam fillings led to cure or significant improvement for most of such oral health problems (8, 27, 56, 57, 75, 82, 86, 87, 90, 94, 95, 101, 115, 133, 145, 167, 168, 192a, 192b, 192c, 192f, 212, 222, 233, 303, 313, 317, 320, 321, 341, 525a, 582, etc.) and oral keratosis (pre-cancer) (87, 251, 252). For example, in one clinic (95) that replaced amalgams for a large number of such patients, there was cure or significant improvement in over 90% of cases for metallic taste, tender teeth, mouth sores, and bad breath and in over 80% of cases for bleeding gums and throat irritation. A Jerome meter was used to measure mercury vapour level in the mouth, and many had over 50 micrograms mercury per cubic metre of air, far above the Government health guideline for mercury (217).

Mercury accumulates in the trigeminal ganglia (303, 325, 329a, 329b) and can cause trigeminal neuralgia from which most recover after amalgam replacement (35d, 192a, 222, 303, 437b, 525a). Temporomandibular joint disorder (TMJ) is a common type of joint pain which can be caused by accumulation of mercury in the joint due to the high amount of mercury in the mouth area of those with amalgam fillings and due to inflammation, similar to arthritic effects on other joints caused by mercury(303). Accumulation of mercury in the cranial nerves is a common cause of tinnitus, TMJ, cataracts, loss of smell, etc. (303).

Cavitations from improperly healed tooth extractions also commonly cause trigeminal neuralgia and most such recover after cavitation surgery (35a, 437b). The periodontal ligament of extracted teeth is often not fully removed and results in incomplete jawbone re-growth resulting in a pocket (cavitation) where mouth bacteria in anaerobic conditions along with similar conditions in the dead tooth produce extreme toxins similar to botulism which like mercury are extremely toxic and disruptive to necessary body enzymatic processes at the cellular level, comparable to the similar enzymatic disruptions caused by mercury and previously discussed (35, 437a, 437b).

Extremely toxic anaerobic bacteria from root canals or cavitations formed at incompletely healed tooth extraction sites have also been found to be common factors in Fibromyalgia and other chronic neurological conditions such as Parkinson's and ALS, with condensing osteitis which must be removed with a surgical burr along with 1 mm of bone around it (35, 200, 437a, 437b). Cavitations have been found in 80% of sites from wisdom tooth extractions tested and 50% of molar extraction sites tested (35, 200, 437a, 437b). The incidence is likely somewhat less in the general population.

The interruption of the ATP energy chemistry results in high levels of porphyrins in the urine (260). Mercury, lead, and other toxics have different patterns of high levels for the 5 types of porphyrins, with pattern indicating likely source and the level extent of damage. The average for those with amalgams is over 3 time that of those without, and is over 20 times normal for some severely poisoned people (232, 260). The FDA has approved a test measuring porphyrins as a test for mercury poisoning. However some other dental problems such as nickel crowns, cavitations, and root canals also can cause high porphyrins.

Cavitations are diseased areas in bone under teeth or extracted teeth usually caused by lack of adequate blood supply to the area. Tests by special equipment (Cavitat) found cavitations in over 80% of areas under root canals or extracted wisdom teeth that have been tested, and toxins such as anaerobic bacteria and other toxics which significantly inhibit body enzymatic processes in virtually all cavitations (200, 437a, 437b). These toxins have been found to have serious systemic health effects in many cases, and significant health problems to be related such as arthritis, MCS, and CFS. These have been found to be factors along with amalgam in serious chronic conditions such as MS, ALS, Alzheimer's, MCS and CFS (35, 200, 204, 222, 292, 437). The problem occurs in extractions that are not cleaned out properly after extraction.

Supplements such as glucosamine sulphate and avoidance of orange juice and caffeine have been found to be beneficial in treating arthritic conditions as well (35).

Nickel and beryllium are 2 other metals commonly used in dentistry that are very carcinogenic, toxic, and cause DNA malformations (35, 456). Nickel ceramic crowns, root canals and cavitations have also been found to be a factor in some breast cancer and other cancers and some have recovered after TDR, which includes amalgam replacement, replacement of metal crowns over amalgam, nickel crowns, extraction of root canalled teeth, and treatment of cavitations where necessary (35, 200, 228a, 486, 530). Similarly nickel crowns and gold crowns over amalgam have been found to be a factor in lupus (35, 229, 456) and Bell's Palsy from which some have recovered after TDR and Feldenkrais exercises (35). An analysis of the large U.S. NHANESIII population found that the age-adjusted geometric mean urine Cadmium concentration was significantly higher among participants with periodontal disease (240.) Smoking is known to be a common source of elevated cadmium level.

Toxic/allergic reactions to toxic metals such as mercury/amalgam often result in autoimmune conditions such as lichen planus lesions in oral mucosa or gums, eczema, pustulosis, dermatitis and play a role in pathogenesis of periodontal disease (85, 86, 87, 88, 90, 303, 313, 314, etc.). A high percentage of patients with oral mucosal problems along with other autoimmune problems such as chronic fatigue (CFS) have significant immune reactions to mercury, palladium, gold, and nickel (118, 313, 369). 94% of such patients had significant immune reactions to inorganic mercury (MELISA test) and 72% had immune reactions to low concentrations of HgCl₂ (<0.5 ug/ml).

61% also had immune reaction to phenylHg, which has been commonly used in root canals and cosmetics (313). Removal of amalgam fillings usually results in cure of such lesions (75, 82, 86, 87, 90, 94, 101, 118, 133, 145, 167, 168, 313). Patients with other systemic neurological or immune symptoms such as arthritis, myalgia, eczema, CFS, MS and diabetes also often recover after amalgam replacement (86, 118, 313, 369, 600, etc.). 10% of controls had significant immune reactions to HgCl and 8.3% to palladium.

In a recent study of patients with oral lichen planus, 60% showed sensitisation to 1 or more allergens using a patch test (85). The greatest frequency of positive reactions was to dental metals. The order of tested metals according to frequency of positive reactions was mercury, amalgam, nickel, palladium, cobalt, gold, chrome, and indium. However, patch tests have been found to not be a reliable indicator of mercury immune reactivity or allergy (303, etc). In large number of clinical trials by

doctors treating oral lichen planus, between 39 and 53% of patients tested by patch tests were indicated to be reactive to mercury. However when patients had amalgams replaced, the majority recovered or significantly improved in a relatively short time period irrespective of patch test results. Thus the authors recommend replacement of amalgam in all cases of oral lichen planus and similar conditions.

The MELISA blood lymphocyte immune reactivity test appears to be a more accurate indicator of immune reactivity than the patch test. When patch tests are to be used it should be noted that the clinical trials found that mercury immune reactivity is often a delayed reaction, with positive patch test observed only later on the 10th or 17th day of the test. Patients with oral lichen planus also commonly have been found to be immune reactive to gold or nickel so that replacement of gold or nickel crowns may be beneficial in such patients when amalgam replacement is not sufficient to resolve the problem (85).

Oral lichen planus and oral lesions, caused most commonly by reactivity to mercury, are inflammatory pre-cancerous conditions that have been well documented in the literature to often develop into oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) (85). Infection and chronic inflammation have been found to contribute to carcinogenesis through inflammation-related mechanisms (85). Inflammatory bowel diseases are associated with colon carcinogenesis and inflammatory oral conditions such as oral lichen planus (OLP) and leukoplakia are associated with OSCC.

Mercury (as well as toxins from root canals and cavitations) interact with brain tubulin and disassembles microtubules that maintain neurite structure (35, 200, 207b, 258, 303, 437). Thus chronic exposure to low level mercury vapour can inhibit polymerisation of brain tubulin and creatinine kinase which are essential to formation of microtubules. Studies of mercury studies on animals give results similar to that found the Alzheimer brain. The effects of mercury with other toxic metals have also been found to be synergistic, having much more effect than with individual exposure (35).

Teeth are living tissue and have massive communication with the rest of the body via blood, lymph, and nerves. Mercury vapour (and bacteria in teeth) have paths to the rest of the body (34, 325, etc.). Mercury has direct routes from the teeth and gums to the brain and CNS, where it accumulates to high levels in those with a large number of amalgam fillings (34, 327, 329).

Due to galvanism of mixed metals, amalgam fillings produce electrical currents which increase mercury vapour release and may have other harmful effects (14, 19, 27, 28,

29, 30, 35, 47, 100, 192, 600). These currents are measured in micro amps, with some measured at over 4 micro amps. The central nervous system operates on signals in the range of nana-amps, which is 1,000 times less than a micro amp (28). The metals also have electrical potentials which can be measured in millivolts (mV). One clinical study determined that electrical potential differences of over 50 mV were pathological (48b), causing galvanism, leukoplakia, oral lichen planus, or toxic or allergic reactions to restorations (48a, etc.). In most subjects with amalgam fillings, potential differences of more than 50 mV are present between restorations (48a), with potentials ranging from -417 mV to +150 mV. Negative potentials may be more pathological than positive ones. The average potential for metal crowns and bridges was 154 mV and for brace brackets was 74 mV (48a).

Negatively charged fillings or crowns push electrons into the oral cavity since saliva is a good electrolyte and cause higher mercury vapour losses (35, 192). Patients with autoimmune conditions like MS, or epilepsy, depression, etc. are often found to have a lot of high negative current fillings (35). The Huggins total dental revision (TDR) protocol calls for teeth with the highest negative charge to be replaced first (35). Other protocols for amalgam removal are available from international dental associations like IAOMT (153) and mercury poisoned patients' organisations like DAMS (447). For these reasons it is important that no new gold dental work be placed in the mouth until at least 6 months after replacement. Some studies have also found persons with chronic exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF) to have higher levels of mercury exposure and excretion (28). The post MRI saliva mercury levels for a sample of patients was on average 31% higher after MRI than before (28e).

In a large German study of MS patients after amalgam revision, extraction resulted in 80% recovery rate versus only 16% for filling replacement alone (302, 308). Other cases have found that recovery from serious autoimmune diseases, dementia, or cancer may require more aggressive mercury removal techniques than simple filling replacement due to body burden. This appears to be due to migration of mercury into roots and gums that is not eliminated by simple amalgam replacement, providing a lasting residue for chronic mercury exposure. That such mercury (and similarly bacteria) in the teeth and gums have direct routes to the brain and CNS has been documented by several medical studies (34, 325, etc.).

Periodontal offices also often are a source of exposure to mercury for staff and patients. Both dental hygienists and patients get high doses of mercury vapour when dental hygienists polish or use ultrasonic scalars on amalgam surfaces (240).

Pregnant women or pregnant hygienist especially should avoid these practices during pregnancy or while nursing since maternal mercury exposure has been shown to affect the fetus and to be related to birth defects, SIDS, etc.(38, 61, 272), and breast milk contains up to 6 times higher mercury than in the mother's blood (20, 186). There is considerable exposure as well when polishing amalgam fillings and hygienists are generally advised not to polish amalgam fillings.

The component mix in amalgams has also been found to be an important factor in mercury vapour emissions. The level of mercury and copper released from high copper amalgam is as much as 50 times that of low copper amalgams (191). Studies have consistently found modern high copper non-gamma II amalgams have greater release of mercury vapour than conventional silver amalgams (298). While the non-gamma II amalgams were developed to be less corrosive and less prone to marginal fractures than conventional silver amalgams, they have been found to be unstable in a different mechanism when subjected to wear, polishing, chewing, brushing or bleaching they form droplets of mercury on the surface of the amalgams (136, 182, 192, 297). This has been found to be a factor in the much higher release of mercury vapour by the modern non-gamma II.

Recent studies have concluded that because of the high mercury release levels of modern amalgams, mercury levels higher than Government health guidelines are being transferred to the lungs, blood, brain, CNS, kidneys, liver, etc. of large numbers of people with amalgam fillings and widespread neurological, immune system, and endocrine system effects are occurring (34, 35, 118, 199, 212, 222, 313, 600).

References

- (2) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1996, "Integrated Risk Information System, National Center for Environmental Assessment, Cincinnati, Ohio(& web page).
- (8) Redhe,O. Sick From Amalgam R-Dental Ab, Frejavagen 33, S-79133 Falun, Sweden (in Swedish) (100 cases).[olle.redhe@telia.com]
- (18) Vimy,MJ. Lorscheider,FL. Intra oral Mercury released from dental amalgams and estimation of daily dose" J. Dent Res. 64(8): 1069-1075,1985.
- (19) Matts Hanson. Amalgam hazards in your teeth,. Dept of Zoophysiology., University of Lund, Sweden., J.Orthomolecular Psychiatry Vo12 No 3 Sept 1983; & Matts Hanson. Why is Mercury toxic? Basic chemical and biochemical properties of Mercury/amalgam in relation to biological effects. ICBM conference Colorado 1988
- (20) Vimy,MJ, Takahashi,Y, Lorscheider,FL. Maternal -Fetal Distribution of Mercury Released From Dental Amalgam Fillings. Dept of Medicine and Medical Physiology, faculty of Medicine, Univ of

- Calgary, Calgary Alberta Cannada 1990 published in FASEB & Amer.J.Physiol. 258:R939-945; Also see (238)
- (21) R.A.Goyer,"Toxic effects of metals"in: Caserett and Doull's Toxicology-TheBasic Science of Poisons, McGraw-Hill Inc., N.Y., 1993; &(b) Goodman, Gillman, The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, Mac Millan Publishing Company, N.Y. 1985
- (25) Malmström C., Hansson M., Nylander M., Conference on Trace Elements in Health and Disease. Stockholm, May 25-1992; & Nylander et al. Fourth international symposium Epidemiology in Occupational Health. Como Italy Sept 1985
- (27) Raue H., "Resistance to therapy; Think of tooth fillings", Medical Practice, vol. 32, n.72, p.2303-2309, 6 Sept 1980
- (28) F.Schmidt et al, "Mercury in urine of employees exposed to magnetic fields", Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen, 1997, 117(2): 199-202; & (b)Sheppard AR and EisenbudM., Biological Effects of electric and magnetic fields of extremely low frequency. New York university press. 1977; & (c) Ortendahl T W, Hogstedt P, Holland RP, "Mercury vapor release from dental amalgam in vitro caused by magnetic fields generated by CRT's", Swed Dent J 1991 p 31 Abstract 22; & (d)Bergdahl J, Anneroth G, Stenman E. Description of persons with symptoms presumed to be caused by electricity or visual display units--oral aspects. Scand J Dent Res. 1994, 102(1):41-5; & (e) Mortazavi SM, Daiee E, Yazdi A, Khiabani K, Mood MB, et al. Mercury release from dental amalgam restorations after magnetic resonance imaging and following mobile phone use. Pak J Biol Sci. 2008 Apr 15;11(8): 1142-6.
- (29) Fisher et al, J Oral Rehab,11: 399-405, 1984; & Goldschmidt et al, J. Perio. Res., 11:108-115, 1976; & Zander JADA, 55:11-15, 1957; & App, J Prosth Dent 11:522-532, 1961; & Trott and Sherkat, J CDA, 30:766-770, 1964; & Sanches Sotres et al, J. Periodo. I40: 543-546, 1969; & Turgeon et al., J CDA 37:255-256, 1972; & Trivedi and Talim, J. Prosth. Dentistry, 29:73-81, 1973; & Pizzichini M, Fonzi M, Release of mercury from dental amalgam and its influence on salivary antioxidant activity. Sci Total Environ 2002 Feb 4;284(1-3):19-25
- (30) Till et al. Zahnarztl. Welt/reform 1978:87;1130-1134 & S. Olsson et al, "Release of elements due to electrochemical corrosion of dental amalgam" J of Dental Research, 1994, 73:33-43; & T.Fusayama et al, J Dental Res, 1963, 42:1183-1197; & Tuija P, Yli-Urpo A, A histological study of the influence of galvanic current between metal alloys in connective tissue in the rat, 1973, Proc Fin J Den Soc 69:1-6; & Horsted-Binslev P, Danscher G. Dentinal and pulpal uptake of mercury from lined and unlined amalgam restorations. Eur J Oral Sci 1997, 105: 338-43
- (34) Patrick Störtebecker, Associate Professor of Neurology, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm. Mercury from Dental Fillings- A Hazard to the Human Brain, Bio-Probe, Inc. ISBN: 0-941011001-1, 1988: & Dental Caries as a Cause of Nervous Disorders, Bioprobe. http://www.bioprobe.com
- (35) Huggins HA, Levy,TE, Uniformed Consent: the hidden dangers in dental care, 1999, Hampton Roads Publishing Company Inc; & Huggins HA, It's All in Your Head, 1997 & Center for Progressive Medicine, 1999, http://www.hugnet.com
- (47)(a)A. Buchner et al, "Amalgam tattoo of the oral mucosa: a clinicopatholigic study of 268 cases", Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol, 1980, 49(2):139-47; & Owens BM, Johnson WW, Schuman NJ. Oral

amalgam pigmentations (tattoos): a retrospective study. Quintessence Int. 1992 Dec;23(12):805-10. &(b) M. Forsell et al, Mercury content in amalgam tattoos of human oral mucosa and its relation to local tissue reactions. Euro J Oral Sci 1998; 106(1):582-7; &(c) J.D. Harrison et al, Amalgam tattoos: light and microscopy and electron-probe micro-analysis; & (d)T. Kanzaki et al, Electron microscopic X-ray microanalysis of metals deposited in oral mucosa. J Dermatol 1992; 19(8):487-92; &(e) K. Nilner et al, In vitro testing of dental materials by means of macrophage cultures. J Biomed Mater Res 1986;20(8):1125-38; & (f) Weaver T, Auclair, PL; Amalgam tattoo as a cause of local and systemic disease? Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. 1987;63:137-40; & (g) Rusch-Behrend GD, Gutmann JL. Management of diffuse tissue argyria subsequent to endodontic therapy: report of a case. Quintessence Int. 1995 Aug;26(8):553-7; & (h) Mayall FG, Hickman J, Knight LC, Singharo S. An amalgam tattoo of the soft palate: a case report with energy dispersive X-ray analysis. J Laryngol Otol. 1992 Sep; 106(9):834-5; & (i) Kissel SO, Hanratty JJ. Periodontal treatment of an amalgam tattoo. Compend Contin Educ Dent. 2002 Oct;23(10):930-2, 934, 936; & (j) Amalgam-tattooassociated oral lichenoid lesion. Staines KS, Wray D. Contact Dermatitis. 2007 Apr;56(4):240-1; & (k) In vivo effects of dental casting alloys. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. 2006 Dec;27 Suppl 1:61-8. Venclíková Z, Benada O, Bártová J, Joska L, Mrklas L, Procházková J, Stejskal V, Podzimek S; & (I) Immunolocalization of HLA-DR and metallothionein on amalgam tattoos. Braz Dent J. 2004;15(2):99-103. Epub 2005 Mar 11, Leite CM, Botelho AS, Oliveira JR, Cardoso SV, Loyola AM, Gomez RS, Vaz RR; & (m) Chronic inflammation and pain inside the mandibular jaw and a 10-year forgotten amalgam filling in an alveolar cavity of an extracted molar tooth. Ultrastruct Pathol. 2005 Sep-Oct;29(5):405-13, Kaufmann T, Bloch C, Schmidt W, Jonas L.

- (48) (a) Muller AW, Van Loon LA, Davidson CL. Electrical potentials of restorations in subjects without oral complaints. J Oral Rehabil. 1990 Sep;17(5):419-24, & Momoi Y, et al; Measurement of galvanic current and electrical potential in extracted human teeth", J Dent Res, 65(12): 1441-1444; & (b) Inovay J, Banoczy, J. (1961) The role of electrical potential differences in the etiology of chronic diseases of the oral mucosa. Journal of Dental Research, 40, 884; & (c) K.Arvidson, "Corrosion studies of dental gold alloy in contact with amalgam", Swed. Dent. J 68: 135-139,1984; & Lemons JE et al, Interoral corosion resulting from coupling dental implants and restorative metallic systems, Implant Dent, 1992, 1(2):107-112; & Skinner, EW, The Science of Dental Materials, 4th Ed.revised, W.B.Saunders Co., Philadelphia, p284-285,1957; & Schoonover IC, Souder W. Corrosion of dental alloys. JADA, 1941, 28: 1278-91.
- (56) Marxkors, R.: Korrosionserscheinungen an Amalgamf llungen und Deren Auswirkungen auf den Menschlichen Organismus. Das Deutsche Zahn rztebl. 24, 53, 117 and 170, 1970.
- (57) N.Campbell & M. Godfrey, "Confirmation of Mercury Retention and Toxicity using DMPS provocation", J of Advancement in Medicine, Vol 7, No.1, Spring 1994; (80 cases) & M.E.Godgrey, "Chronic ailments related to amalgams", J.Adv Med, 1990, 3:247-
- (58) H.Freden et al, "Mercury in gingival tissues adjacent to amalgam fillings", Odontal Revy, 1974, 25(2): 207-210; & H Reden, Odont Revy, 25, 1971, 207-210.
- (61) Dr Gustav Drasch, Institute of Forensic Medicine, University of Munich. Mercury burden of human fetal and infant tissues", Euro.J. Pediatrics,153(8): Spring 1994,p607-610 & E.Lutz et al, "Concentrations of mercury in brain and kidney of fetuses and infants", Journal of Trace Elements in

Medicine and Biology,1996,10:61-; & S. Sodestrom et al, "The effect of mercury vapor on cholinergic neurons in The fetal brain", Brain Res Dev Brain Res,85(1):96-,1995;

- (75) Katsunuma et al, "Anaphylaxis improvement after removal of amalgam fillings", Annals of Allergy, 1990, 64(5):472-75; & M.Drouet et al, "Is mercury a respiratory tract allergen?", Allerg Immunol(Paris), 1990, 22(3): 81.
- (77) I.Skare, "Mass Balance and Systemic Uptake of Mercury Released from Dental Fillings", Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 80(1-4):59-67, 1995; & Skare J, Enqvist A, Human exposue to mercury and silver released from dental amalgam restorations. Archives of Env Health 1994; 49(5): 384-94.
- (79) L.Bjorkman et al, "Mercury in Saliva and Feces after Removal of Amalgam Fillings", J Dent Res 75: 38- IADR Abstract 165, 1996; & Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, May 1997, 144(1), p156-62.
- (81) L.I.Liang et al, "Mercury reactions in the human mouth with dental amalgams" Water, Air, and Soil pollution, 80:103-107.
- (82) J.Begerow et al,"Long-term mercury excretion in urine after removal of amalgam fillings", Int Arch Occup Health 66:209-212, 1994.
- (85) Review and Summary of cause and effects of oral lichen planus, www.flcv.com/olp.html (see also references: 86,87,90,etc.)
- (86) Association between oral lichenoid reactions and amalgam restorations, Pezelj-Ribarić S, Prpić J, Miletić I, Brumini G, Soskić MS, Anić I. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2008 Nov;22(10):1163-7; & (b) Guzzi, G., C., Pigatto, P. et al, (2003). Toxicol. Lett. 144(Suppl. 1), 35-36; & A Dunsche et al, "Oral lichenoid reactions associated with amalgam: improvement after amalgam removal." British Journal of Dermatology 2003 Jan;148:1:70-6; & (c) E.R.Smart et al, "Resolution of lichen planus following removal of amalgam restorations", Br Dent J 178(3): 108-112,1995; & H.Markow," Regression from orticaria following dental filling removal:,New York State J Med,1943: 1648-1652; & G. Sasaki et al, "Three cases of oral lichenosis caused by metallic fillings", J. Dermatol, 23 Dec, 1996; 12:890-892; & (d) Migration of mercury from dental amalgam through human teeth by H. H. Harris et al. (2008).J. Synchrotron Rad. 15, 123–128; & Comments on ...,G.Guzzi & P.Pigatto, J. Synchrotron Rad. 16, part 3:435-36, 2009; & Mutter, J. et al (2007). Nummular dermatitis, Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 37, 537-549;
- (87)A. Skoglund, Scand J Dent Res 102(4): 216-222, 1994; and 99(4):320-9,1991; &(b) P.O.Ostman et al, "Clinical & histologic changes after removal of amalgam" Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, and Endodontics, 1996, 81(4):459-465; &(c) L. Wong and S. Freeman, Oral lichenoid lesions (OLL) and mercury in amalgam fillings, Contact Dermatitis, Vol 48 Issue 2 Page 74 February 2003; &(d) Alanko K, Kanerva L, Jolanki R, Kannas L, Estlander T. Oral mucosal diseases investigated by patch testing with a dental screening series. Contact Dermatitis. 1996 Apr;34(4):263-7.
- (88) Guzzi G, Grandi M, Cattaneo C. Should amalgam fillings be removed? Lancet 2002; 21-28:360; & Pigatto PD, Guzzi G. Oral lichenoid lesions: more than mercury. Oral Surg, Oral Med, Oral Path, Oral Radiol, Endod 2005; 398-400; & Pigatto PD, Guzzi G, Severi G. Oral lichen planus: mercury and its kin. Arch Dermatol 2005; 141: 1473-3; & Guzzi G, Minoia C, Pigatto PD, Severi G. Methylmercury, amalgams, and children's health. Environ Health Perspect. 2006; 114:149; & Guzzi G, Minoia C,

- Pigatto PD, Lucchiari S, Severi G. Mercury and dental patients: toxicology, immunology and genetic connection. Toxicol Letters; 2005; 158S: S239.
- (89) Pigatto PD, Guzzi G, et al. Recovery from mercury-induced burning mouth syndrome due to mercury allergy. Dermatitis 2004:15:75-7.
- (90) Oral lichenoid lesions and allergy to dental materials. Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub. 2007 Dec;151(2):333-9. Ditrichova D, Kapralova S, Tichy M, Ticha V, Dobesova J, Justova E, Eber M, Pirek P; & (b) P.Koch et al, "Oral lichenoid lesions, mercury hypersensitivity, ...", Contact Dermatitis, 1995, 33(5):323-328; & (c) S.Freeman et al, "Oral lichenoid lesions caused by allergy to mercury in amalgam", Contact Dermatitis, 1995, 33(6): 423-7(Denmark) & (d) H.Mobacken et al, Contact Dermatitis, 1984,10: 11-
- (94) F.Berglund, Case reports spanning 150 years on the adverse effects of dental amalgam, Bio-Probe, Inc., Orlando, Fl, 1995;ISBN 0-9410011-14-3 (245 cured)
- (95) Lichtenberg H, "Symptoms before and after proper amalgam removal in relation to serum-globulin reaction to metals", Journal of Orthomolecular Medicine, 1996, 11(4): 195-203. (119 cases)
- (100) M.Hanson et al, "The dental amalgam issue: a review", Experientia, 47:9-22,1991; & J.A.Weiner et al, "Does mercury from amalgam restorations constitute a health hazard", Sci Total Environ, 1990, 99(1-2): 1-22;
- (101) E.Henriksson et al, "Healing of Lichenoid Reactions following Removal of Amalgam", J Clinical Periodontol, V22,N4,p287-94,1995 & M.Forsbec et al, Journal of Clinical Immunology, 16(1):31-40, Jan 1996.
- (115) G.Hall, V-TOX, Mercury levels excreted after Vit C IV as chelator by number of fillings. Int Symposium "Status Quo and Perspectives of Amalgam and Other Dental Materials" European Academy, Ostzenhausen/Germany. April 29 May 1, 1994 & Heavy Metal Bulletin, Apr 1996, Vol. 3, Issue 1, p6-8 (200 cured or significantly improved)
- (118) L.Tibbling et al, Immunolocial and brain MRI changes in patients with suspected metal intoxication", Int J Occup Med Toxicol 4(2):285-294,1995.
- (133) M.Molin et al, "Mercury in plasma in patients allegedly subject to oral galvanism", Scand J Dent Res 95:328-334, 1987.
- (136) A. Certosimo et al, "The Effect of Bleaching Agents on Mercury Release from Spherical Dental Amalgam". Dental Article Review and Testing, 2003, http://agd.org/library/250/251/200308_cert.pdf
- (145) D.E. Swartzendruber, Med Hyptheses, 1993, v41,n1, p31-34.
- (153)International Academy of oral Medicine and Toxicology, "A Scientific Response to the American Dental Association Special Report and Statement of Confidence in Dental Amalgam, IAOMT, POB 608531, Orlando,32860-8531,http://emporium.turnpike.net/P/PDHA/mercury/asr.htm; & IAOMT, Protocol for Mercury/Silver Filling Removal,
- http://emporium.turnpike.net/P/PDHA/mercury/iaomt.htm

- (167) R.Brehler et al,"Mercury sensitization in amalgam fillings", Dtsch med Wochenschr, 1993,118(13): 451-6.
- (168) J.Laine et al, "Resolution of oral lichenoid lesions after replacement of amalgam restorations", Br J Dermatol, 1992,126(1):10-15; & S.H.Ibbotson et al, "The relevance of amalgam replacement on oral lichenoid reactions", British Journal of Dermatology,134(3):420-3, 1996.
- (174) B.Willershausen et al, "Mercury in the mouth mucosa of patients with amalgam fillings", Dtsch Med Wochenschr, 1992, 117:46, 1743-7.
- (179) A.Lussi, "Mercury release from amalgam into saliva", Schweiz Monatsschrahnmed, 103(6):722-6, 1993.
- (182) J Pleva, J Orthomol Psych, Vol 12, No.3, 1983 & J. Of Orthomol. Medicine 1989, 4:141-148. & "Mercury- A Public Health Hazard", Reviews on Environmental Health, 1994, 10:1-27;
- (183) World Health Organization (WHO),1991, Environmental Health criteria 118, Inorganic Mercury, WHO, Geneva; & Envir. H. Crit. 101, Methyl Mercury; & Halbach, 1995, "estimation of mercury dose ...", Int. Archives of Ocuupational & Environmental Health, 67: 295-300; & G. Sandborgh-Englund, Pharmakinetics of mercury from dental amalgam", Gotab (Stolckholm), 1998,1-49.
- (186) C.N.Ong et al,, "Concentrations of heavy metal in maternal and umbilical cord blood "Biometals, 6(1):61-66, 1993; & J.Yang et al, "Maternal-fetal transfer of metallic mercury via placenta and milk", Ann Clin Lab Sci, 27(2):135-141, Mar 1997]; & Y.K.Soong et al, J of Formosa Medical Assoc., 1991, 90(1): 59-65.
- (191) D.Brune et al, Scand J Dent Res, 1983,19:66-71 & Sci Tot Envir, 1985, 44; & Dependence of kinetic variables in the short-term release of Hg(2+), Cu (2+) and Zn (2+) ions into synthetic saliva from an high-copper dental amalgam. Campus G, Garcia-Godoy F, et al; Mater Sci Mater Med. 2007 Mar 27; & "Metal release from dental materials", Biomaterials, 1986, 7, 163-175.
- (192) N.Nogi, "Electric current around dental metals as a factor producing allergic metal ions in the oral cavity", Nippon Hifuka Gakkai Zasshi, 1989, 99(12):1243-54; & (b)M.D.Rose et al, Eastman Dental Institute, "The tarnished history of a posteria restoration", Br Dent J 1998;185(9):436; & (c) A.J.Certosimo et al, National Naval Dental Center, "Oral Electricity", Gen Dent, 1996, 44(4):324-6; & (d) R.H.Ogletree et al, School of Materials Science, GIT, Atlanta,"Effect of mercury on corrosion of eta' Cu-Sn phase in dental amalgams", Dent Mater, 1995, 11(5):332-6; & (e) R.D.Meyer et al, "Intraoral galvanic corrosion", Prosthet Dent, 1993,69(2):141-3; & (f) B.M.Owens et al, "Localized galvanic shock after insertion of an amalgam restoration", Compenium, 1993, 4(10),1302,1304,1306-7; & (g)Johansson E, Liliefors T, "Heavy elements in root tips from teeth with amalgam fillings", Department of Radiation Sciences, Division of Physical Biology, Box 535, 751 21 Uppsala, Sweden; & (h) Cheshire, William P., Jr. The shocking tooth about trigeminal neuralgia. New England Journal of Medicine, Vol. 342, June 29, 2000, p. 2003; & (i) Immunological findings in saliva of patients with oral discomfort and dental metal fillings in relation to presence of galvanic cell in the oral cavity. Podzimek S, Prochazkova J, Miksovsky M, Bartova J, Hana K. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. 2006 Dec;27 Suppl 1:59.

- (199)Dr. P.Kraub & M.Deyhle, Universitat Tubingen- Institut fur Organische Chemie, "Field Study on the Mercury Content of Saliva", 1997 http://www.uni-tuebingen.de/KRAUSS/amalgam.html
- & (b) Monaci F, Bargagli E, Bravi F, Rottoli P. Concentrations of major elements and mercury in unstimulated human saliva. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2002 Dec; 89(3):193-203.
- (200) V.Nadarajah et al, "Localized cellular inflammatory response to subcutaneously implanted dental mercury", J Toxicol Environ Health, 1996, 49(2):113-25; Kulacz & Levy, "The Roots of Disease". Xlibris Corporation at 1-888-795-4274 www.xlibris.com; & Effects of Root Canals and Cavitations: Review, www.flcv.com/damspr11.html
- (204) Tom Warren, Beating Alzheimer's, Avery Publishing Group, 1991. www.the7thfire.com/ADApolitics.htm
- (207) Pendergrass JC, Haley BE, Univ. Of Kentucky Dept. Of Chemistry "The Toxic Effects of Mercury on CNS Proteins: Similarity to Observations in Alzheimer's Disease", IAOMT Symposium paper, March 1997 & "Mercury Vapor Inhalation Inhibits Binding of GTP ...-Similarity to Lesions in Alzheimer's Diseased Brains", Neurotoxicology 1997, 18(2)::315-24; & Met Ions Biol Syst, 1997, 34:461-
- (209) Mark Richardson, Environmental Health Directorate, Health Canada, Assessment of Mercury Exposure and Risks from Dental Amalgam, 1995, Final Report.
- (211) M.J.Vimy and F.L. Lorscheider, Faculty of Medicine, Univ. Of Calgary, J. Trace Elem. Exper. Med., 1990, 3: 111-123.
- (212) Ziff, M.F., "Documented clinical side effects to dental amalgams", Adv. Dent. Res., 1992; 1(6):131-134; & Ziff, S., Dentistry without Mercury, 8th Edition, 1996, Bio-Probe, Inc., ISBN 0-941011-04-6; & Dental Mercury Detox, Bio-Probe, Inc. http://www.bioprobe.com (cases: FDA Patient Adverse Reaction Reports-762, Dr. M. Hanson-Swedish patients-519, Dr. H. Lichtenberg-100 Danish patients, Dr. P.Larose- 80 Canadian patients, Dr. R.Siblerud, 86 Colorado patients, Dr. A.V.Zamm, 22 patients) http://www.flcv..com/hgrecovp.html & www.flcv.com/hgremove.html
- (217) Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service, Toxicological Profile for Mercury, 1999; & Apr 19,1999 Media Advisory, New MRLs for toxic substances, MRL:elemental mercury vapor/inhalation/chronic & MRL: methy mercury/ oral/acute; & http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/mrls.html
- (220) C Arch Environmental Health, 19,891-905, Dec 1969.
- (222) M. Daunderer, "Improvement of Nerve and Immunological Damages after Amalgam Removal", Amer. J. Of Probiotic Dentistry and Medicine, Jan 1991.
- (228)(a) A.F.Zamm, "Removal of dental mercury: often an effective treatment for very sensitive patients", J Orthomolecular Med, 1990, 5(53):138-142. (22 patients)

- (229) M.Davis, editor, Defense Against Mystery Syndromes", Chek Printing Co., & March, 1994(case histories documented); & Andrew Hall Cutler, PhD, PE; Amalgam Illness: Diagnosis and Treatment; 1996, www.noamalgam.com/
- (232) Adolph Coors Foundation, "Coors Amalgam Study: Effects of placement and removal of amalgam fillings", 1995. (www) & International DAMS Newsletter, p17, Vol VII, Issue 2, Spring 1997. (31 cases); & (b) Antero Danersund, "Dental Materials and Psychoneuroimmunology Conference". Danderyd Hospital, 14-16 August, 1998; www.melisa.org/archive/6th melisa study group.html
- (233) Sven Langworth et al,"Amalgamnews and Amalgamkadefonden, 1997. & F.Berglund, Bjerner et al. "Improved Health after Removal of dental amalgam fillings", Swedish Assoc. Of Dental Mercury Patients, 1998.(www.tf.nu) (over 1000 cases)
- (240) K.W. Hinkleman et al, "Mercury release during ultrasonic scaling of amalgam", J Dent Res. 74(SE):131, Abstract 960, 1995; & C. Malmstrom et al,. "Silver amalgam: an unstable material", Swedish paper translated in Bio-Probe Newsletter, Vol 9(1):5-6, Jan. 1993.
- (258) Ely, J.T.A., Mercury Induced Alzheimer's Disease: Accelerating Incicdence?, Bull Environ Contam Toxicol, 2001, 67: 800-6.
- (260) J.S. Woods et al. "Urinary porphyrin profiles as biomarker of mercury exposure: studies on dentists", J Toxicol Environ Health, 40(2-3):1993, p235-; & "Altered porphyrin metabolites as a biomarker of mercury exposure and toxicity", Physiol Pharmocol, 1996,74(2):210-15, & Canadian J Physiology and Pharmacology, Feb 1996
- (272) W.D. Kuntz et al, "Maternal and cord blood background mercury levels" Amer J Obstetrics Gynecol. 143(4):440-443, 1982,
- (292) M.Daunderer, H.Schiwara, et al, Quecksilber, Methyluqecksilber, ... in Korpermaterial von Amalgamtrager", Klin Lab 38, 391-403,1992; & M.Gradl & A.Gebhardt, Ermittlung der Quecksilberbelastung aus Amalgamfullurngen, Labormedizin 16,384-386,1992; & R.Mayer et al, "Zur Ermittlung de Quecksilberfreisetzung aus Amalgamfullungen", Die Quintessenz 45, 1143-1152,1994; & R.Schiele, Die Amalgamfullung-Vertraglichkeit, Dtsch Zahnarztl Z 46, 515,1991.
- (297) P.E.Schneider et al, "Mercury release from Dispersalloy amalgam", IADR Abstrats, #630, 1982; & N.Sarkar, "Amalgamtion reaction of Dispersalloy Reexamined", IADR Abstracts #217, 1991; & N.K. Sarkar et al, IADR Abstracts #895, 1976; & R.S.Mateer et al, IADR Abstracts #240, 1977; & N.K.Sarkar et al, IADR Abstracts, #358, 1978; & N.W. Rupp et al, IADR Abstracts # 356, 1979.
- (298) C. Toomvali, "Studies of mercury vapor emission from different dental amalgam alloys", LIU-IFM-Kemi-EX 150, 1988; & A.Berglund," A study of the release of mercury vapor from different types of amalgam alloys", J Dent Res, 1993, 72:939-946; & D.B.Boyer, "Mercury vaporization from corroded dental amalgam" Dental Materials, 1988, 4:89-93; & V.Psarras et al, "Effect of selenium on mercury vapour released from dental amalgams", Swed Dent J, 1994, 18:15-23; & L.E.Moberg, "Long term corrosion studies of amalgams and Casting alloys in contact", Acta Odontal Scand 1985, 43:163-177; & L.E. Moberg, "Corrosion products from dental alloys", Published Dissertation, Stockholm, 1985.

- (302) D, Klinghardt, IAOMT Conference & tape, 1998; "large study by M.Daunderer(Germany) of MS patients after amalgam removal".
- (303) Heavy Metal and Chemical Toxicity, Dietrich Klinghardt, MD, Ph.D. www.neuraltherapy.com/chemtox.htm; & Mercury Toxicity and Systemic Elimination Agents, D. Klinghardt & J Mercola(DO), J of Nutritional and Environmental Medicine, 2001, 11:53-62; & Amalgam Detox, Klinghardt Academy of Neurobiology, 2008
- (308) Max Daunderer, Handbuch der Amalgamvergiftung, Ecomed Verlag, Landsberg, 1998, ISBN 3-609-71750-5 (in German)
- (313) V.D.M.Stejskal et al, "Mercury-specific Lymphocytes: an indication of mercury allergy in man", J. Of Clinical Immunology, 1996, Vol 16(1);31-40; www.melisa.org; & Perioral dermatitis after dental filling in a 12-year-old girl: involvement of cholinergic system in skin neuroinflammation? ScientificWorldJournal. 2008 Feb 6;8:157-63, Guarneri F, Marini H.
- (314) Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis, a clue to neutrophil-mediated inflammatory processes orchestrated by T cells. Britschgi M, Pichler WJ, Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2002 Aug;2(4):325-31; & [Allergic contact dermatitis][Article in Polish] Pol Merkur Lekarski. 2003, Jun;14(84):605-8. Gliński W; & Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis, a clue to neutrophil-mediated inflammatory processes orchestrated by T cells. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2002 Aug;2(4):325-31, Britschgi M, Pichler WJ; & A case of immediate hypersensitivity reaction associated with an amalgam restoration, Kal Bl, Evcin O, Dundar N, Tezel H, Unal I. Br Dent J. 2008 Nov 22;205(10):547-50
- (317) S.Zinecker, "Amalgam: Quecksilberdamfe ...", der Kassenarzt, 1992, 4:23; "Praxiproblem Amalgam", Der Allgermeinarzt, 1995,17(11):1215-1221. (1800 patients)
- (230) S. Rogers, M.D., Chemical Sensitivity, Keats Publishing,
- (231) Larsen, A.H. et al, "Mercury Discharge in Waste Water from Dental Clinics" Water Air and Soil Pollution, Jan 1996: 86(1-4): 93-99 & Rubin, P.g. et al, Archives of Environmental Health, Jul 1996; 51(4):335-337 & A. Lindvall et al, "Mercury in the Dental Practice: Contamination of Ambient Air and Waste Water, FDI World Dental Congress, Aug 19,1993, Goteborg, Sweden.
- (240) Association of environmental cadmium exposure with periodontal disease in U.S. adults; Arora M, Weuve J, Schwartz J, Wright RO. Environ Health Perspect. 2009 May; 117(5):739-44
- (251)(a) Y.Omura et al, Heart Disease Research Foundation, NY, NY, "Role of mercury in resistant infections and recovery after Hg detox with cilantro", Acupuncture & Electro-Therapeutics Research, 20(3):195-229, 1995; &(b) "Mercury exposure from silver fillings", Acupuncture & Electrotherapy Res, 1996, 133
- (252) R. Ma et al, "Association between dental restorations and carcinoma of the tongue", European Journal of Cancer. Part B, Oral Oncology, 1995; 31B(4): 232-4.R; & P.34 Evaluation of premalignancy in oral lichen planus and oral lichenoid lesions using immunohistochemical expression of p53 and Ki67; R.R. Acay, S.C.O.M. Sousa and C.R. Felizzola; Oral Oncology Supplement, Volume 1, Issue 1, 2005, Page 155; & Lichenoid dysplasia and malignant transformation of oral lichen planus and oral

- lichenoid lesions; P. Vescovi, M. Meleti, A. Ripasarti, et al; Oral Oncology Supplement; Volume 2, Issue 1, Supplement 1, May 2007, Page 201
- (321) R.L.Siblerud, "Relationship between dental amalgam and health", Toxic Substances Journal, 1990b. 10:425-444; & "Effects on health following removal of dental amalgams", J Orthomolecular Med, 5(2): 95-106, & "Relationship between amalgam fillings and oral cavity health" Ann Dent, 1990, 49(2): 6-10, (86 cured)
- (325) C.R. Adams et al, "Hg & ALS", JAMA, 1983, 250(5):642-5; & M. Su et al, J Neurol Sci, 1998, 156(1):12-7; & B. Arvidson(Sweden), Muscle Nerve, 1992, 15(10);1089-94,
- (327) G. Danscher et al, Environ Res, "Localization of mercury in the CNS", 1986, 41:29-43; & Exp Mol Pathol, 1990, 52: 291-299; & "Ultrastructural localization of mercury after exposure to mercury vapor", Prog Histochem Cytochem, 1991, 23:249-255. (rats & primates)
- (329)(a) Arvidson B; Arvidsson J; Johansson K, "Mercury Deposits in Neurons of the Trigeminal Ganglia After Insertion of Dental Amalgam in Rats", Biometals; 7 (3) p261-263 1994; & (b) Arvidson B. Inorganic mercury is transported from muscular nerve terminals to spinal and brainstem motor neurons. Muscle Nerve 1992, 15:1089-94; & B. Arvidson et al, Acta Neurol Scand, "Retrograde axonal transport of mercury in primary sensory neurons" 1990,82:324-237 & Neurosci Letters, 1990, 115:29-32; & Arvidson B, Arvidsson J. Retrograde axonal transport of mercury in primary sensory neurons innervating the tooth pulp in the rat. Neurosci Lett. 1990 Jul 17;115(1):29-32
- (334) Univ. of Kentucky Dept. Of Chemistry web page, http://www.altcorp.com/
- (341) A.Tosti et al, "Contact stomatitis", Semin Cutan Med Surg, 1997, 16(4):314-9; & T.Nakada et al, "Patch test materials for mercury allergic contact dermatitis", Dermatitis, 1997, 36(5):237-9; & Guttman-Yassky E, Weltfriend S, Bergman R. Resolution of orofacial granulomatosis with amalgam removal. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2003 May;17(3):344-7; & Lazarov A, Kidron D, Tulchinsky Z, Minkow B. Contact orofacial granulomatosis caused by delayed hypersensitivity to gold and mercury. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2003 Dec;49(6):1117-20.
- (369) & Sterzl I, Prochazkova J, Stejskal VDM et al, Mercury and nickel allergy: risk factors in fatigue and autoimmunity. Neuroendocrinology Letters 1999; 20:221-228 & Stejskal J, Stejskal V. The role of metals in autoimmune diseases and the link to neuroendocrinology, Neuroendocrinology Letters, 20:345-358, 1999
- (436) Schiwara, H.-W. (Medical Laboratory) Arzte fur Laboratoriumsmedizen, D-28357 Bremen; & Heavy Metal Bul, 1999, 1:28.
- 437) Affinity Labeling Technology, Inc.(Dental Lab), oral toxicity testing technology and tests, see research web pages on amalgam toxicity, root canals, cavitations. http://www.altcorp.com; &(b) Thomas E. Levy , MD, FACC, and Hal A. Huggins, DDS, MS; Routine Dental Extractions Routinely Produce Cavitations, Journal of Advancement in Medicine Volume 9, Number 4, Winter 1996 & www.flcv.com/damspr11.html; & www.flcv.com/RChealth.html
- (447) Amalgam/mercury poisoned patients' organizations, DAMS: Assoc. Of Dental Mercury Patients-U.S., http://www.amalgam.org;

- (486) Dr. Hulda Clark, The Cure for All Cancers, 1998, www.drclark.net; & Gerson Clinics, www.gerson.org Dr. Hulda Clark, The Cure for all Diseases, New Century Press,2000, www.drclark.net (amalgam replacement and treatment for parasites/bacteria)(U.S. CDC confirms parasites common in those with chronic immune conditions)
- (525) Cheshire, William P., Jr. The shocking tooth about trigeminal neuralgia. New England Journal of Medicine, Vol. 342, June 29, 2000, p. 2003, & Bergman M, Ginstrup O, Nilsson B. Potentials of and currents between dental metallic restorations. Scand J Dent Res 1982;90:404-8; & Hugoson A. Results obtained from patients referred for the investigation of complaints related to oral galvanism. Swed Dent J 1986;10:15-28 & Johann Lechner, "Dental Materials and Psychoneuroimmunology Conference". Danderyd Hospital, 14-16 August, 1998; www.melisa.org/archive/6th_melisa_study_group.html
- (530) Cancer case histories followed by doctors, www.whale.to/d/cancer.html
- (543) U.S. Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Health Statistics, NHANES III study (thousands of people's health monitored), www.flcv.com/NHanes3.html; & www.mercola.com/article/mercury/no_mercury.htm & Review: cancer related to mercury exposure, B. Windham (Ed) www.flcv.com/cancerhg.html; & (b) Laks, Dan R. Assessment of chronic mercury exposure within the U.S. population, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999–2006. Biometals. August 2009; & Laks, D.R. et al, Mercury has an affinity for pituitary hormones, Medical Hypotheses, Dec 2009; & (c) An Investigation of Factors Related to Levels of Mercury in Human Hair, Environmental Quality Institute, October 01, 2005, www.greenpeace.org/raw/content/usa/press/reports/mercury-report.pdf www.greenpeace.org/usa/assets/binaries/addendum-to-mercury-report
- (582) Surgical treatment of amalgam fillings causing iatrogenic sinusitis. Selmani Z, Ashammakhi N. J Craniofac Surg. 2006 Mar;17(2):363-5; &(b) Chronic maxillary sinusitis of dental origin and nasosinusal aspergillosis. Fligny I, Lamas G, Rouhani F, Soudant J. Ann Otolaryngol Chir Cervicofac. 1991;108(8):465-8; & (c) Chronic unilateral maxillary sinusitis caused by foreign bodies in the maxillary sinus, Tingsgaard PK, Larsen PL. Ugeskr Laeger. 1997 Jul 7; 159(28): 4402-4
- (600) B. Windham, Ed., Anotated Bibliography: Exposure Levels and Health Effects of Mercury from Amalgam Fillings and Results of Filling Replacement, 2000, (over 4000 Medical Studies & scientific journal references and 60,000 clinical cases of replacement followed by doctors), www.flcv.com/amalg6.html

Note: etc in a list denotes that author is aware of more references on this subject, generally available in (600).

- (601) B. Windham(Ed), Annotated bibliography: Level of Exposure to mercury from dental amalgam, 2005, www.flcv.com/damspr1.html
- (602) B. Windham(Ef), Occupational exposure to mercury in dental staff: exposure levels and effects, 2003, www.flcv.com/dental.html